

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/719,547	10/719,547 11/21/2003		Stephen S. Whitehead	NIH214.001C1	3443
20995	7590	02/21/2006		EXAMINER	
KNOBBE 2040 MAIN		NS OLSON &	PARKIN, JEFFREY S		
FOURTEEN		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
IRVINE, C	IRVINE, CA 92614				
				DATE MAILED: 02/21/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No. Applicant(s)		
	10/719,547	WHITEHEAD ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jeffrey S. Parkin, Ph.D.	1648	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Second 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise 	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-32 are subject to restriction and/or e Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ access	vn from consideration. election requirement.	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the orection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Expression 11.	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa		

Serial No.: 10/719,547 Docket No.: NIH214.001C1 Applicants: Whitehead, S. S., et al. Filing Date: 11/21/2003

Restriction Requirement

35 U.S.C. § 121

Applicants are hereby advised that the last office action has been vacated in favor of the following restriction requirement. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:

- a. Group I, claim(s) 1-16, drawn to a mutant flavivirus, classified in class 435, subclass 236, and class 424, subclass 218.1.
- b. Group II, claim(s) 17, drawn to a **kit** comprising a **pack** or **dispenser device**, classified in class 422, subclass 61.
- c. Group III, claim(s) 18 and 19, drawn to a method of making dengue virus-specific neutralizing antibodies, classified in class 530, subclasses 387.1 and 388.3.
- d. Group IV, claim(s) 20, drawn to a **tetravalent vaccine** comprising a mutant flavivirus, classified in class 424, subclasses 202.1 and 218.1.
- e. Group V, claim(s) 21 and 22, drawn to a **live**, attenuated vaccine comprising a mutant flavivirus, classified in class 435, subclass 236, and class 424, subclass 218.1.
- f. Group VI, claim(s) 23 and 24, drawn to an **inactivated vaccine** comprising a mutant flavivirus, classified in class 435, subclass 236, and class 424, subclass 218.1.
- g. Group VII, claim(s) 25, drawn to a cDNA molecule encoding a mutant flavivirus, classified in class 536, subclass 23.72.
- h. Group VIII, claim(s) 26, drawn to an RNA molecule encoding a mutant flavivirus, classified in class 536, subclass 23.72.
- i. Group IX, claim(s) 27 and 28, drawn to a method of making a mutant flavivirus, classified in class 435, subclass 69.1.
- j. Group X, claim(s) 29 and 32, drawn to a method of identifying flaviviral mutations that affect replication in human hepatocytes, classified in class 435, subclass 5.

k. Group XI, claim(s) 30 and 32, drawn to a method of identifying flaviviral mutations that affect replication in Vero cells, classified in class 435, subclass 5.

 Group XII, claim(s) 31, drawn to a method of assembling a menu of flavivirus mutations, classified in class 435, subclass 5.

Applicants are further advised that if any one of Groups I-IX are selected, applicants are required to specify both the genotype and phenotype of the virus (as set forth in Tables 1-37) pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 121. For instance, if Group I is elected, applicants must identify a specific viral genotype (e.g., Virus 311 [N473S:R735K:A1599S]) and specify the phenotype of the virus (e.g., small plaque formation). Applicants may include different dengue types (e.g., DEN-1, -2, -3, or -4) with the election. Each mutant flavivirus has a unique genotype/phenotype and will require separate searches. Accordingly, it would constitute a significant burden to search multiple mutants. This is NOT a species election requirement. Applicants' representative is invited to contact the examiner if they have any questions concerning the requirement.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Unrelated Inventions

Inventions I, II, and IV-VIII are all unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (M.P.E.P. § 806.04 and § 808.01). In the instant case, each of the identified groups is directed toward a structurally and functionally different product (i.e., mutant flaviviruses, kits, tetravalent vaccines, live,

attenuated vaccines, inactivated vaccines, cDNA molecules, and RNA molecules). Separate searches will be required for each invention.

Inventions III and IX-XII are all unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (M.P.E.P. § 806.04 and § 808.01). In the instant case, each of the identified groups is directed toward a different methodology that employs different scientific reagents and protocols. Each group will require a separate search. Accordingly, each group is clearly directed toward a different inventive concept.

Inventions II/IV-VIII and III/IX-XII are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (M.P.E.P. § 806.04 and § 808.01). In the instant case, the methodologies of groups III and IX-XII neither require not utilize the products groups II and IV-VIII.

Inventions I and X-XII are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (M.P.E.P. § 806.04 and § 808.01). In the instant case, the methodologies of groups X-XII neither require nor utilize the product of group I.

Product and Process of Using

Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as

claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (M.P.E.P. § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the mutant flaviviruses of Groups I can be employed in a number of materially different processes such as affinity purification protocols or ligand binding assays.

Product and Process of Making

Inventions I and IX are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (M.P.E.P. § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the mutant flaviviruses of Group I can be prepared by a number of materially different processes such as chemical treatment.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, recognized divergent subject matter, and require separate searches, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 C.F.R. § 1.143). Applicant is also advised that the claims should be amended to reflect the election, where necessary.

Joint Inventors, Correction of Inventorship

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in

compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(i).

Claim Rejoinder

Applicants are reminded that a restriction between product and process claims has been set forth *supra*. When applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found to be allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of § 821.04 of the M.P.E.P. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 C.F.R. § 1.116 while amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 C.F.R. § 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability as set forth under 35 U.S.C. §s 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process

Claims in light of *In re Ochiai*, *In re Brouwer*, and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)", 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so will result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Furthermore, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. § 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See M.P.E.P. § 804.01.

Correspondence

The Art Unit location of your application in the Patent and Trademark Office has changed. To facilitate the correlation of related papers and documents for this application, all future correspondence should be directed to art unit 1648.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jeffrey S. Parkin, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (571) 272-0908. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 10:30 AM to 9:00 PM. A message may be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James C. Housel, can be reached at (571) 272-0902. Direct general status inquiries to the Technology Center 1600 receptionist at (571) 272-1600. Informal communications may be submitted to the Examiner's RightFAX account at (571) 273-0908.

Applicants are reminded that the United States Patent and Office (Office) requires most patent Trademark correspondence to be: a) faxed to the Central FAX number (571-273-8300) (updated as of July 15, 2005), b) hand carried or delivered to the Customer Service Window (now located at the Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314), c) mailed to the mailing address set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.1 (e.g., P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450), or d) transmitted to the Office using the Office's Electronic Filing System. This notice replaces all prior Office notices specifying a specific fax number or hand carry address for certain patent related correspondence. further information refer to the Updated Notice of Centralized Delivery and Facsimile Transmission Policy for Patent Related

Correspondence, and Exceptions Thereto, 1292 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 186 (March 29, 2005).

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Respectfully,

Jeffrey S. Parkin, Ph.D.

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1648

27 December, 2005